

Physicochemical Assessment of Soil in Rajura Bazar in Amravati District of Maharashtra(India)

Rajesh P. Ganorkar* and P.G.Chinchmalatpure

Department of Chemistry, Mahatma Fule Arts , Commerce and Sitaramji Chaudhary Science Mahavidyalaya ,Warud.
Dist. Amravati-444906 (M.S.) India.

*E-mail: rajesh.ganorkar@rediffmail.com

Article History:

Received: 13 August 2013

Accepted: 12 December 2013

ABSTRACT

In the present research work, studies on soils with physical properties , chemical properties and micronutrients of soils have been done . Soil samples were collected from six different locations covering Rajura Bazar, in Warud Tahsil in Amravati District (Maharashtra) India. The soil parameters like soil moisture, pH, EC, Carbon, Calcium carbonate, TDS, Magnesium, Calcium , Nitrogen, Copper, Potassium and Phosphorous content, were analyzed in the month of February 2013. The values of pH indicated that all samples of the soils are alkaline, all samples were containing moderate amount of available micronutrients.

Keywords: Physico-Chemical analysis, Parameters,Rajura Bazar ,Warud Tahsil, Soil quality.

©2013 ijCEPr. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Indian agriculture occupies an eminent position in global cultivation of rice, wheat, sugarcane, pulses and vegetables. Soil testing is the only way to determine the available nutrient status in soil and the only way we can develop specific fertilizer recommendations. Soil is the unconsolidated or loose covering of fine rock particles that covers the surface of the earth. Soil properties that are sensitive to changes in the management can be used as indicators Andrews and Cambardella[1]. Bell and Dell[2] have showed that the deficiency of nutrients has become major constraint to productivity, stability and sustainability of soils.

The status of micronutrients in soils district Bhimber and their relationship with various physico-chemical properties were investigated by Wajahat Nazif, Sajida Perveen and Iftikhar Saleem[3]. The impacts of industrial pollution on the ground water soil and plant have also been reported in our country and abroad[4].

Perveen S. et al.[5] have studied micronutrient status of soils and their relationship with various physico-chemical properties. Chhabra G. et al.[6] have shown that available manganese decreased with soil pH and available copper increased with clay and organic carbon content. Results of physical and chemical tests provide information about the capacity of soil to supply mineral nutrients. The status of available micronutrients in soils and their relationship with various physico-chemical properties have been attempted by several investigators [7-9]. Khadke P.A.et.al. reported soil analysis and its environmental impact on Nanded city of Maharashtra State[10]. Investigation of some parameter and Nutrients from Soil samples of Rice field by Jadhav S.D . *et.al* .but the investigation of nutrients and parameters of Soil of Rajura Bazar village in Warud Tahsil of Amravati district in Maharashtra, India was still lacking.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Rajura Bazar is a village in Warud Tahsil in Amravati District of Maharashtra State, India; which is shown in Fig.-1. It belongs to Vidarbha region. It belongs to Amravati Division, This area is well known for oranges, Turmeric and Chillies. The sources of water for this area is of well and tube well . It is located at bottom of Satpuda ranges. Relative to its geographical location, the study area enjoys a tropical type of climate.

Sample Collection

Six samples were collected from the study area (farmers field) in the month of February 2013. Soil samples were collected randomly at 0 to 15 cm and 15 to 30 cm depths with five plots, five samples from each plot respectively, in well sterilised polythene pouches. Soil sample were collected from following Farmers fields-

1. Sample-1 (PGC-1) was collected from **Mr. Devidasrao Bahurupi's** field.
2. Sample-2 (PGC-2) was collected from **Mrs. Sulbhatai Bahurupi's** field.
3. Sample-3 (PGC-3) was collected from **Mr. Ravindraji Choudhary's** field.

4. Sample-4 (PGC-4) was collected from **Mr. Rajabhau Sable's** field .
5. Sample-5 (PGC-5) was collected from **Mr. Sheshraoji Nagdive's** field.
6. Sample-6 (PGC-6) was collected from **Mr. Gajananrao Kale's** field.



Fig.-1: Study Area

Physicochemical Analysis of Soil Samples

Reagents used for this research work were AR grade and chemicals other than reagent are LR grade manufactured by S.D. fine, LOBA and Merck. The soil samples were dried for about 24 hr. and grinded more finely. Methods used for estimation of various parameters are-

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| 1. Determination of Moisture: | by Weighting Method. |
| 2. Determination of pH: | by Digital pH Meter |
| 3. Determination of Electric Conductance: | by Conductometer |
| 4. Determination of Organic Carbon: | by Titration Method |

- | | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 5. Determination of Magnesium (Mg): | by EDTA Titration Method |
| 6. Determination of Calcium (Ca): | by Titration Method. |
| 7. Determination of (Total Dissolved Solid) TDS: | by TDS METER. |
| 8. Determination of Copper (Cu): | by Atomic Adsorption Spectroscopy. |
| 9. Determination of Nitrogen (N): | by Titration Method |
| 10. Determination of Phosphorous (P): | by Titration Method |
| 11. Determination of Pottasium (K): | by Flame Photometry |
| 12. Determination of Calcium Carbonate (CaCO ₃): | by Titration Method |
| 13. Determination of Colour Of Soil: | by Viewing soil |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Colour of Soil

The soil sample PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-4 & PGC-6 was Faint Black in colour , samples PGC-3 are Brown and PGC-5 are Reddish Brown in colour.

TDS

The TDS in soil samples ranges from 108-222 %.It is seen that soil sample PGC-5 and PGC-6 have less amount of TDS content as compared to sample PGC-1 ,PGC-2, PGC-3 and PGC-4.

Moisture

The moisture content value ranges from 1.5 % - 10 %. It is clear from the result that soil sample PGC-3 only 1.5 % moisture which is less as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-4,PGC-5 and PGC-6.

pH

pH was observe in the range 7.80 – 8.46. The Soil sample PGC-3, PGC-4,PGC-6 is very slightly alkaline sample and PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-5 soil sample is medium alkaline.

Organic Carbon

Organic carbon values were recorded in the range of 1.25 – 1.69 %.The soil sample PGC-5 has less organic carbon , sample PGC-4 have moderate and sample PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-3,PGC-6 has high percentage of organic carbon.

Available Nitrogen

Available nitrogen content in the soil sample ranged from 219- 298 kg/hect. The soil sample PGC-2 have high nitrogen content as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-3,PGC-4,PGC-5,PGC-6.

Phosphorous

Phosphorous content in the soil sample ranged between 18.5- 25 kg/hect. The soil sample PGC-4 and PGC-6 has less phosphorous content as compared to sample PGC-2,PGC-3 and PGC-5 .

Potassium

Potassium content in the soil sample ranged between 445 – 648 kg/hect. The soil sample PGC-3,PGC-5 and PGC-6 have less potassium content as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-2 & PGC-4 .

Copper

The Copper content in soil samples ranges from 3.84 - 6.14. It is seen that soil sample PGC-2 have less amount of Copper content as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-3,PGC-4,PGC-5 & PGC-6.

Magnesium

The Magnesium content in the soil sample ranged from 0.842 – 0.895 %. It is seen that soil sample PGC-2,PGC-3,PGC-4 and PGC-5 have less amount of magnesium as compared to sample PGC-1 and PGC-6.

Electric Conductance

The Electric Conductance values ranged from 0.3 – 0.7 μ S . It is seen that soil sample PGC-5 have less amount of Electric Conductance as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-3,PGC-4 & PGC-6.

Calcium

The Calcium content in soil sample ranges from 0.07 - 0.16 %.It is seen that soil sample PGC-3 and PGC-4 have less amount of Calcium content as compared to sample PGC-1,PGC-2,PGC-5 and PGC-6 .

Alkalinity

The Alkalinity was observed in the range between 533.5–1164 % . It is seen that soil sample PGC-3,PGC-4,PGC-5 & PGC-6 has less alkalinity as compared to PGC-1 , PGC-2.

Calcium Carbonate

The Calcium Carbonate content in soil samples ranges from 5.25-7.25 % .It is seen that soil sample PGC-1,PGC-3 and PGC-6 have less amount of Calcium Carbonate as compared to soil samples PGC-2,PGC-4 and PGC-5 .

Table-1: Physicochemical Parameters of Soil Samples

| S. No. | Parameters | PGC-1 | PGC-2 | PGC-3 | PGC-4 | PGC-5 | PGC-6 |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | Colour | Faint Black | Faint Black | Brown | Faint Black | Reddish Brown | Faint Black |
| 2 | TDS (mg/L) | 160 | 195 | 166 | 222 | 113 | 108 |
| 3 | Moisture (%) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 7 | 10 | 3 |
| 4 | pH. | 8.46 | 8.34 | 7.93 | 7.80 | 8.22 | 7.93 |
| 5 | Organic Carbon (%) | 1.62 | 1.53 | 1.61 | 1.42 | 1.25 | 1.69 |
| 6 | Nitrogen (kg/hect) | 255 | 298 | 268 | 248 | 249 | 219 |
| 7 | Phosphorous(kg/hect) | 19.5 | 22.5 | 22.00 | 18.5 | 25 | 18.5 |
| 8 | Potassium (kg/hect) | 515 | 545 | 498 | 648 | 445 | 495 |
| 9 | Copper (ppm) | 4.61 | 3.84 | 4.99 | 6.14 | 5.38 | 4.99 |
| 10 | Magnesium (%) | 0.895 | 0.861 | 0.842 | 0.857 | 0.871 | 0.890 |
| 11 | Electro Conductance(ms) | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 12 | Calcium (%) | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| 13 | Alkalinity(mg/L) | 1018.5 | 1164 | 727.5 | 824.5 | 533.5 | 630.5 |
| 14 | CaCO ₃ (%) | 5.25 | 7.25 | 5.25 | 7.25 | 5.60 | 5.25 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr.D.V.Atkare, Principal Mahatma Fule Arts ,Commerce & Sitaramji Chaudhary Science Mahavidyalaya ,Warud and Non teaching staff for providing necessary laboratory facility. Dr.A.P.Deshmukh, Dead Deptt.of Soil testing Lab, Shri Shivaji Agricultural College, Amravati for his valuable guidance.

REFERENCES

1. Andrews S.S, Karlen D.L. and Cambardella C.A, Soil Sci.Soc. Am. J., **68** (2004) 1945.
2. Bell R.W. and Dell B. IFA, Paris, France, 2008 .
3. Wajahat N., Sajida P. and Iftikhar S., Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science,**1** (2006) 35.
4. Maliwal, G.L.et al, Poll. Res., **23(1)**(2004)169.
5. Perveen S., Tariq M., Farmanullah J. K. and Hamid A., Journal of Agriculture, **9(5)**(1993)467.
6. Chhabra G., Srivastava P. C., Ghosh D. and Agnihotri A. K., Crop Research-Hisar, **11(3)**(1996)296.
7. Kumar M. and Babel A. L., Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, **3**(2011)97.
8. Nazif W., Perveen S. and Saleem I., Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, **1**(2006)35.
9. Methur R. and Sudan P., J. Chem. Pharm. Res., **3(3)**(2011)290.
10. Khadke P.A., Bhosle A.B.and Yennawar V. B, Research Front,**1(1)**(2013)73.
11. Jadhav S.D., Sawant R.S. and Godghate A.G., Res. J. Agriculture and Forestry Sci., **1(4)** (2013)24.

[ijCEPr-271/2013]